Business & Careers

Protection begins with assessment of access



Luigi Benetton Hi-Tech

L aw firms handle plenty of sensitive information, both their own and that of their clients. A client's competitor and opponents in a lawsuit are just two parties who may want to steal that information. "Security matters because it is not a theoretical risk," says Martin Felsky, a lawyer and partner with Harrington LLP in Toronto.

If a firm has an information technology department, it may already have a handle on security. If not, the first step toward better security is a threat and risk assessment (TRA).

Felsky insists this is not a "boilerplate" exercise. "Threats can differ depending on the types of law you practice, the types of clients you serve," he explains.

At minimum, firms need to secure all work devices using passwords and protect them from malware. Mobile devices, which can be encrypted, can also erase themselves after a certain number of unsuccessful login attacks, a great way to thwart thieves trying to guess at passwords.

Malware comes from places people already know about, like e-mail links and Word documents, as well as places previously thought safe. In the past,



CALVIO / ISTOCKPHOTO.COM

people PDF'd documents, in part to keep from transmitting malware. Today's "reality is that PDF documents can become infected just like any other file can," says Michael Legary, chief strategy officer for Winnipeg-based information assurance integrator Seccuris Inc., who advises firms to differentiate between files generated internally and those from an external source.

Despite news headlines that document the nefarious deeds of Chinese hackers, most Canadian law firms need to look much closer to home for issues that are likely to cause them grief. They're far more likely to suffer data breaches from physical theft of devices, accidental loss of devices or unauthorized access to devices,

J K Hannaford Barristers

according to Jack Newton, president and CEO of Vancouver-based cloud practice management system vendor Themis Solutions Inc.

Loss of a device should not mean loss of the data on that device. "Encrypt any drive

that has any remotely sensitive data," Newton urges. He notes that today's operating system-based encryption tools make phones, USB memory keys, PC and server hard disks and other storage devices unreadable without the right password.

Many lawyers won't like what Newton has to say next: "Law firms should outright ban USB keys, or at least keep them encrypted." Their small size makes them easy to lose or steal, he argues, adding that portable hard drives are equally vulnerable.

Indeed, today's highly portable data storage devices can easily be stolen if an office isn't secured against unauthorized visitors. Law firms can deter incursions by requiring ID badges, setting up offices so all visitors must pass by reception, and even physically separating offices from meeting rooms.

Lawyers who travel outside of Canada should take "bare" computers (that contain no data) that allow them to establish a secure connection back to the office using tools like Windows Remote Desk-Security, Page 22



JUDICIAL VACANCY ONTARIO COURT OF JUSTICE KITCHENER

The Judicial Appointments Advisory Committee advises the Attorney General of Ontario on the appointment of Judges to the Ontario Court of Justice, and invites applications for a judicial position in Kitchener.

This appointment involves presiding over family and criminal law matters (approximately 75% family and 25% criminal) and also involves travel within the regional boundaries as assigned by the Regional Senior Justice and/or the Chief Justice.

The minimum requirement to apply to be a Judge in the Ontario Court of Justice is <u>ten years completed</u> membership as a barrister and solicitor at the Bar of one of the Provinces or Territories of Canada.

All candidates must apply either by submitting 14 copies of the <u>current</u> (Nov 2011) completed Judicial Candidate Information Form in the first instance or by a short letter (14 copies) if the current form has been submitted within the **previous 12 months**. Should you wish to change any information in your application, you <u>must</u> send in 14 copies of a fully revised Judicial Candidate Information Form.

If you wish to apply and need a current Judicial Candidate Information Form, or if you would like further information, please contact:

Judicial Appointments Advisory Committee Tel: (416) 326-4060. Fax: (416) 212-7316 Website: www.ontariocourts.ca/ocj/jaac/

All applications, either sent by courier, mail or hand delivery, must be sent to:

Judicial Appointments Advisory Committee c/o Ministry of Government Services Mail Delivery 77 Wellesley Street West, Room M2B-88 Macdonald Block, Queen's Park Toronto, Ontario, M7A 1N3

Applications must be on the current prescribed form and must be TYPEWRITTEN or COMPUTER GENERATED and <u>RECEIVED BY 4:30 p.m. on</u> <u>Friday, May 3, 2013</u>. CANDIDATES ARE REQUIRED TO PROVIDE <u>14 COPIES</u> OF THEIR APPLICATION FORM OR LETTER. A Fax copy will be accepted only if 14 copies of the application or letter are sent concurrently by overnight courier. Applications received after this date WILL NOT be considered.

The Judiciary of the Ontario Court of Justice should reasonably reflect the diversity of the population it serves. Applications from members of equality-seeking groups are encouraged.

J K Hannaford Barristers invites applications for the position of an associate lawyer. Experience in the area of family law is necessary for this position. J K Hannaford Barristers serves clients in all areas of family law. To learn more about the firm, please visit our website at **www.jkhannaford.com**

Please direct your applications in confidence to Julie K. Hannaford julie@jkhannaford.com J K Hannaford Barristers (Professional Corporation) 77 Bloor Street West, Suite 1703

Toronto, Ontario M5S 1M2

gowlings

Gowlings is looking for an experienced litigator to continue the development and maintenance of Gowlings' national advocacy precedents and model documents.

The successful candidate will work with our National Precedents Partner and others to draft, update and maintain advocacy precedents and model documents; identify precedent and model documents for development; co-ordinate specialist and peer review of precedent and model documents; and participate in professional development programs relating to advocacy drafting, precedents and model documents.

The position does not involve billable time commitments, and is dedicated entirely to the creation of resources for the Firm's litigation lawyers.

The successful candidate will have:

- Superior drafting and organizational skills
- At least 8 years experience in a litigation-based private practice, preferably at a large firm
 Experience in support of knowledge management or precedent initiatives
- preferred
- A commitment to plain English drafting
 Strong interpersonal skills and initiative
- Salary and benefits commensurate with experience

While this position is a national position, the successful candidate will be located in either our Toronto or Ottawa office.

Those interested are invited to e-mail their cover letter and resumé, in confidence, to: Marva Bethune

National Director, Human Resources marva.bethune@gowlings.com

Business & Careers

Security: 'Consumer-grade' offerings will not do

Continued from page 21

top. All data stays on secure servers and, should a computer be lost or confiscated by a country's border services, no data is compromised.

When faced with the task of securing all sorts of endpoint devices, from PCs to laptops to tablets to phones, IT pros may long for the days of dumb terminals accessing mainframes. The terminals did not store data, so if you secured the servers, you secured your data.

To a degree, cloud computing services replicate this centralized model in which tablets and smartphones act like dumb terminals. Even though devices do, in some instances, store information, the right cloud service can improve a firm's data security.

Legary red-flags firms that use "consumer-grade" cloud offerings like DropBox to share documents between the office and mobile devices, and in some instances, with clients. "Will you be notified of third-party access?" he wonders. Law enforcement, for instance, may demand files, "but what we're finding right now is that administrators of online services sometimes need to inspect files manually. Nobody is being notified of this."

As businesses tighten up physical and digital security, hackers turn to social engineering, the "scamming" of employee user names and passwords. All it takes is a call to an assistant from somebody purporting to be a partner saying, "Hi, I forgot my password. Please tell me what it is." Attacks can also come via links in e-mails. Firms need to train all staff to recognize and deal with social engineering attacks. (If you want to understand how easy this can be to pull **6** w firms o

Law firms should outright ban USB keys, or at least keep them encrypted.

Jack Newton Themis Solutions off, read Kevin Mitnick's hacker memoir *Ghost in the Wires: My Adventures as the World's Most Wanted Hacker.*)

Firms seeking to better their security can use various sets of standards. If clients adhere to a standard, they "must state what level of information security they're looking for," Felsky says. "Have a client send you its security standards as a checklist. These checklist requirements then get targeted to contractual provisions in a retainer agreement."

Standards specific to the legal industry include the Canadian Judicial Council's Blueprint for the Security of Judicial Information, which Felsky has been involved with since inception and through several drafts.

The Barreau du Québec offers a checklist and tips in the Frenchonly (for now) Guide des technologies de l'information pour l'avocat et son équipe (guideti. barreau.qc.ca). Another resource to check out is the 2011 Guidelines for Legal Professionals, published by the International Legal Technical Standards Organization (iltso.org).

International standards, such as ISO 27001 and 27002, offer high-level policy statements and specific policy implementation methods.

"Law firms need to adopt a standard and work hard towards complying with that standard. That shows due diligence," says Felsky.

For all this, he warns against turning a firm into Fort Knox. "If you lock things down too tight, people go around the system and security is even worse."

We want to hear from you! Send us your verdict:

comments@lawyersweekly.ca



POSTE À POURVOIR AU SEIN DE LA MAGISTRATURE COUR DE JUSTICE DE L'ONTARIO TORONTO

Le Comité consultatif sur les nominations à la magistrature conseille le Procureur général de l'Ontario sur les nominations de juges à la Cour de justice de l'Ontario et invite les personnes intéressées à présenter leur demande au poste de juge à Toronto.

Cette nomination consiste à présider des causes criminelles et pourrait exiger des déplacements dans les limites du territoire régional, selon les directives du juge principal régional ou du juge en chef.

Pour pouvoir poser sa candidature à un poste de juge à la Cour de justice de l'Ontario, il faut, comme condition minimale, avoir été inscrit comme avocat-plaidant et procureur au barreau de l'une des provinces ou de l'un des territoires du Canada <u>pendant au moins</u> <u>dix ans</u>.

Tous les candidats et candidates doivent poser leur candidature soit, dans le premier cas, en présentant le Formulaire de renseignements sur le candidat/la candidate à la magistrature <u>courant</u> (nov 2011), soit en envoyant une courte lettre (en 14 exemplaires) si le formulaire courant a été présenté au cours des 12 mois précédents. En cas de changements à apporter à un formulaire déjà envoyé, le candidate una la candidate <u>doit</u> envoyer à nouveau 14 exemplaires du formulaire de renseignements corrigé.

Si vous voulez poser votre candidature et que vous avez besoin d'un Formulaire de renseignements sur le candidat/la candidate à la magistrature courant, ou encore si vous souhaitez obtenir de plus amples renseignements, veuillez communiquer avec :

Comité consultatif sur les nominations à la magistrature Téléphone : (416) 326-4060 Télécopieur : (416) 212-7316 Site Web : www.ontariocourts.ca/ocj/fr/jaac/

Toutes les demandes envoyées par service de messagerie, par la poste ou en main propre **doivent** être soumises à l'adresse suivante :

Comité consultatif sur les nominations à la magistrature a/s Ministère des Services gouvernementaux - Services de distribution du courrier 77, rue Wellesley Ouest, salle M2B-88 Édifice Macdonald, Queen's Park Toronto (Ontario) M7A 1N3

Les demandes de candidature doivent être déposées par l'entremise du formulaire prescrit courant et DACTYLOGRAPHIÉES ou CRÉÉES PAR ORDINATEUR et <u>reçues au plus tard à 16 h 30 le vendredi 3 mai 2013</u>. LES CANDIDATS ET CANDIDATES DOIVENT FOURNIR <u>14 EXEMPLAIRES</u> DE LEUR FORMULAIRE OU DE LEUR LETTRE DE CANDIDATURE. Une télécopie ne sera acceptée que si 14 exemplaires du formulaire ou de la lettre de candidature sont également envoyés par service de messagerie de 24 heures. On n'accordera <u>AUCUNE</u> considération aux candidatures reçues après cette date.

La magistrature provinciale doit refléter raisonnablement la diversité de la population qu'elle sert. Nous encourageons les membres de groupes de promotion de l'égalité à présenter une demande.



JUDICIAL VACANCY ONTARIO COURT OF JUSTICE TORONTO

The Judicial Appointments Advisory Committee advises the Attorney General of Ontario on the appointment of Judges to the Ontario Court of Justice, and invites applications for a judicial position in Toronto.

This appointment involves presiding over criminal law matters and could also involve travel within or beyond the regional boundaries as assigned by the Regional Senior Justice and/or the Chief Justice.

The minimum requirement to apply to be a Judge in the Ontario Court of Justice is <u>ten</u> <u>years completed</u> membership as a barrister and solicitor at the Bar of one of the Provinces or Territories of Canada.

All candidates must apply either by submitting 14 copies of the <u>current</u> (Nov 2011) completed Judicial Candidate Information Form in the first instance or by a short letter (14 copies) if the current form has been submitted within the previous 12 months. Should you wish to change any information in your application, you <u>must</u> send in 14 copies of a fully revised Judicial Candidate Information Form.

If you wish to apply and need a current Judicial Candidate Information Form, or if you would like further information, please contact:

Judicial Appointments Advisory Committee Tel: (416) 326-4060. Fax: (416) 212-7316 Website: www.ontariocourts.ca/ocj/jaac/

All applications, either sent by courier, mail or hand delivery, $\underline{\textit{must}}$ be sent to:

Judicial Appointments Advisory Committee c/o Ministry of Government Services Mail Delivery 77 Wellesley Street West, Room M2B-88 Macdonald Block, Queen's Park Toronto, Ontario, M7A 1N3

Applications must be on the current prescribed form and must be TYPEWRITTEN or COMPUTER GENERATED and <u>RECEIVED BY 4:30 p.m. on</u> <u>Friday, May 3, 2013</u>. CANDIDATES ARE REQUIRED TO PROVIDE <u>14 COPIES</u> OF THEIR APPLICATION FORM OR LETTER. A Fax copy will be accepted only if 14 copies of the application or letter are sent concurrently by overnight courier. Applications received after this date WILL NOT be considered.

The Judiciary of the Ontario Court of Justice should reasonably reflect the diversity of the population it serves. Applications from members of equality-seeking groups are encouraged.